UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,162	06/17/2005	Theodore J Nitz	1282-P02959US01	2828
DANN, DORFMAN, HERRELL & SKILLMAN 1601 MARKET STREET SUITE 2400 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2307			EXAMINER	
			KOSACK, JOSEPH R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/26/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/524,162	NITZ ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph R. Kosack	1626
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
Period for Reply	VIO OFT TO EVENE A MONTH	VO. O.D. T. H.D.T.V. (20.) D.A.V.O.
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron te, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 I 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 36-67 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) 36-66 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 67 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is old	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 36-67 are pending in the instant application.

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 16, 2009 has been entered.

As the most recent amendment has been made by an attorney that does not currently have a power of attorney recognized by the Office, the Examiner was not able to contact the Applicant in order to attempt to place the instant application in condition for allowance.

## Previous Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1, 4, and 6-33 were previously rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nitz et al. (WO/99/38508 A1) in view of DeLuca et al. (*Pharma. Dosage Forms Vol 1: Parenteral Medications, 1992*, 173-175).

The Applicant has deleted the obvious subject matter, and the rejection is withdrawn.

Claims 34-35 were previously rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nitz et al. (WO/99/38508 A1).

The Applicant has deleted the obvious subject matter, and the rejection is withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 10/524,162 Page 3

Art Unit: 1626

# Claim Objections

Claim 67 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 66. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 67 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 67 contains definitions of substituents Rb, Rc, Rd, and P, but there is no

link to the structural formula

. Therefore, the metes and

bounds of the claim would not be apparent to the person of skill in the art since it is not known where Rb, Rc, Rd, and P are attached to the base structural formula.

Art Unit: 1626

## Conclusion

Claim 67 is rejected. Claim 67 is objected to. Claims 36-66 are currently allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph R. Kosack whose telephone number is (571)272-5575. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane can be reached on (571)-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Joseph R Kosack/ Examiner, Art Unit 1626